Chapter # 14

THE IMPORTANCE OF INTEGRATING ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE STUDENTS IN BANKING:
Enhancing innovation and security

Didier Mbela Kalengay[1], Kaarina Anna Paulus[1], Boniface Kerima[1], & Alex Banze Wa Mutombo[2]
[1]*University of Namibia, Namibia*
[2]*Institut Supérieur Pédagogique et Technique, DR Congo*

ABSTRACT
The digital transformation of the banking sector has created an unprecedented demand for technical, analytical, and creative problem-solving skills. This chapter examines how scientific and engineering (STEM) students might be strategically integrated into the banking industry to meet this need, with a focus on Namibia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Despite the widespread recognition of the potential benefits of this type of integration, including enhanced cybersecurity, data-driven innovation, and operational efficiency, many questions remain regarding practical implementation strategies and how to overcome sector-specific barriers, particularly in developing countries. This study examines the key contributions, perceived benefits, and significant obstacles of integrating STEM talent into banking using a mixed-methods approach (in-depth interviews with 30 participants and surveys with 200 respondents). The findings indicate that 85% of banking professionals firmly believe that STEM students foster creativity. Additionally, quantitative research reveals a substantial positive correlation between integration and process innovation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$). However, there are still barriers including cultural reluctance at banks (55%) and a lack of awareness of STEM competencies (60%). According to the chapter, successful integration requires specific strategies including transdisciplinary project design, structured mentorship, and updated recruitment frameworks in order to effectively use STEM skills for banking's impending challenges.

Keywords: workforce development, cybersecurity, interdisciplinary talent, banking innovation, STEM education, Namibia, DRC.

1. INTRODUCTION

Digitalization, cybersecurity threats, and shifting consumer expectations are causing the global banking industry to undergo significant change (Berger, 2020; Reis, 2024). A workforce with sophisticated technological, analytical, and systems-thinking abilities is needed for this transition in addition to traditional financial knowledge. With these specific abilities, students pursuing STEM fields, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics represent a vital talent pool, according to the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2018). From creating trustworthy FinTech applications to strengthening cybersecurity frameworks and streamlining operational processes, their expertise in data analysis, algorithmic thinking, and structured problem-solving is directly applicable to modern banking processes (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2020; Nnaomah, 2024). The potential of this skill pool and its actual application in the banking industry, particularly in developing nations, differ significantly, though. Banks frequently still use traditional hiring silos that prioritize recent graduates in business and finance above the creative potential of STEM

minds (Bresnahan et al., 2002). According to Yi and Duval-Couetil (2018), STEM students often do not know about banking career options or how their abilities relate to financial services. Banks lose out on important innovation drivers as a result of this disconnect, while STEM talent ignores a vibrant industry in need of their skills. This chapter's main issue is how the banking industry can strategically integrate science and engineering students in countries like Namibia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo to use their skills for increased security and innovation, and what are the main factors that encourages and hinder this integration? This study looks at the systemic difficulties, real-world applications, and perceived advantages of integrating STEM students into banking. It offers a nuanced view on workforce development in changing financial environments within African contexts by concentrating on Namibia and the Democratic Republic of Congo. The chapter makes the case that intentional integration is essential to the competitive resilience and ongoing innovation of banking in the twenty-first century, rather than just an HR tactic.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL GROUNDING

2.1. Theoretical Foundations: Bridging Human Capital and Organizational Behavior

Three alternative theoretical frameworks can be used to explain the why, what, and how of integrating non-traditional talent into well-established sectors like banking. The fundamental economic justification is provided by Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964). It asserts that both individuals and organizations can profit from investments in human capital, or skills and knowledge. According to theory, integrating STEM graduates into the banking industry is a direct investment in technical human capital, which should boost creativity and productivity (Goldin, 2016). Nevertheless, this argument by itself is unable to explain why such investments are not made more easily. The emphasis is shifted to strategic advantage by the Resource-Based View (RBV) (Barney, 1991). It contends that resources that are valuable, rare, unique, and non-substitutable (VRIN) are the basis of long-term competitive advantage. Such strategic assets can be represented by the distinct, technologically focused, and numerically demanding skill sets of STEM graduates, such as proficiency in algorithmic automation, cybersecurity, and complicated modeling. By going beyond the conventional resource of financial knowledge, their integration enables banks to develop unique capabilities that are challenging for rivals to imitate. Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is essential to comprehending the implementation gap. It discusses how attitudes toward the activity, subjective standards (organizational culture), and perceived behavioral control impact behavioral intention (e.g., to hire and integrate STEM talent). Therefore, deeply rooted cultural norms that favor finance backgrounds and a perceived lack of control over integrating "different" personnel might impede action even if bank managers understand the value (positive attitude) (Nnaomah, 2024). This approach is a direct influence on how we investigate obstacles such as "cultural resistance." These theories work together to create an analytical triad: TPB describes the organizational and behavioral barriers that need to be addressed, RBV presents the investment as a strategic imperative, and HCT defends the investment.

2.2. STEM Education and Skill Transferability: Beyond Technical Proficiency

According to recent studies, STEM education today develops the exact skills that digital banking requires. STEM education places a strong emphasis on data literacy, systems thinking, and computational problem-solving in addition to fundamental technical

knowledge. These abilities are directly relevant to risk modeling, process optimization, and FinTech development (Xu & Zhou, 2022; Korkmaz et al., 2021). Crucially, entrepreneurship education is increasingly commonly incorporated into STEM curricula, encouraging a creative mentality and business savvy (Yi & Duval-Couetil, 2018; Sunyoto, 2019). Because they learn to structure technical solutions within workable business models, graduates are especially well-suited to fast-paced, customer-centric industries like banking.

2.3. Innovation in Banking: The Imperative for Interdisciplinary Teams

Technology is being emphasized more and more in the banking literature as a major force for development. FinTech adoption studies show how technology changes operational strategy and service delivery (Nnaomah, 2024; Reis, 2024). Innovative concepts frequently come from interdisciplinary teams that question received wisdom, according to parallel studies on innovation management in the financial services industry (Battisti et al., 2022). But putting together diverse teams isn't enough to realize this innovative potential. It necessitates an organizational culture that embraces and incorporates a variety of expertise types as well as efficient knowledge management (Silwana & Jokonya, 2020). The literature frequently refers to a "cultural lag" in which the adoption of technology surpasses the organizational norms and structures required to accommodate new talent types.

2.4. Synthesis and Research Gap

Two parallel narratives are firmly established by the literature currently in publication: (1) the significance of technical proficiency and creativity in contemporary banking, and (2) the worth and changing character of STEM education. At their crossing, however, there is still a sizable conceptual and empirical divide. The majority of research focuses solely on STEM education or financial innovation. The actual method, techniques, and lived experience of integrating STEM students into financial institutions are not well studied, especially in the particular socioeconomic situations of developing African countries. By combining these discourses and offering factual, contextually grounded evidence on the advantages, difficulties, and realistic integration pathways from the dual viewpoints of STEM students and industry workers, this chapter fills this vacuum.

Guiding Research Questions:

1. What are the purported benefits of integrating engineering and scientific students into the banking sector, as perceived by both students and banking professionals?
2. What part do engineering and scientific students play in the creativity and efficiency of banking operations?
3. What barriers might scientific and engineering students face when trying to integrate into banking roles?
4. How can banks use the expertise of science and engineering students to enhance their data analysis and cybersecurity measures?
5. How does entrepreneurship education help students studying science and engineering get ready for banking jobs?

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

A sequential explanatory mixed-methods design was used in this investigation (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). In order to find general trends, opinions, and correlations, this method initially required gathering and evaluating quantitative survey data. A qualitative

interview phase that aimed to clarify, contextualize, and expand on the quantitative findings came after this phase. By offering both statistical breadth and narrative richness, this method enables triangulation, improving the results' validity and depth.

3.2. Population and Sampling

Two different populations were the focus of the study:

1. Banking professionals in Namibia and the DRC, including managers, IT specialists, human resources staff, and innovation officers.

2. STEM students from universities in the same nations who are either final-year students or recent graduates in technology, computer science, data science, and related subjects.

3.2.1. Sampling Strategy and Justification

Both representativeness and perceptive depth were guaranteed by a complementing mixed sampling technique.

• Quantitative Phase (Survey): We chose 200 respondents (100 professionals and 100 students) using stratified random selection. Department-based stratification applied to professionals (IT, Operations, HR, etc.). It was determined by the discipline of the students (Physical Sciences, Computer Science, Engineering). This made sure that a variety of pertinent viewpoints from each group were represented in the sample.

• Qualitative Phase (Interviews): We selected 30 participants (15 professionals, 15 students) from the survey respondents using purposive sampling. Strong opinions (positive or negative), relevant experience (e.g., professionals involved in recruiting or digital projects, students with finance-related projects or internships), and diversity of background were among the criteria used to select participants. According to Palinkas et al. (2015), this approach is the best for gathering comprehensive, information-rich data from key informants.

3.3. Data Collection

• Surveys: To gauge attitudes toward integration as well as opinions of advantages, contributions, and difficulties, a standardized questionnaire with 5-point Likert scales was used. Along with items drawn from the theoretical frameworks, it also contained demographic questions (e.g., items on perceived behavioral control associated with TPB).

• Interviews: Exploratory discussion was made possible by semi-structured interview guidelines.

Organizational experiences, perceived worth, and institutional barriers were the main topics of professional interviews. Interviews with students centered on perceived readiness, skill application, and career perceptions. With the participants' permission, the 30- to 45-minute interviews were audio recorded and verbatim transcribed.

3.4. Data Analysis

• Quantitative Analysis: SPSS software was used to examine the data. The data was summarized using descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, and standard deviations). Relationships between variables, such as the connection between integration levels and perceived innovation, were investigated using inferential statistics (Pearson correlation, multiple regression analysis).

• Qualitative Analysis: Thematic analysis was used to examine interview transcripts in accordance with Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase methodology. This included getting to know the data, creating preliminary codes, looking for themes, evaluating themes, defining and labeling themes, and creating the report. The inductive nature of the analysis allowed themes to surface from the data.

3.5. Ethical Considerations

Strict ethical procedures were followed during the investigation. Every participant gave their informed consent. They were made aware of their freedom to leave at any moment and without consequence. Transcripts and reports were stripped of all identifying information to ensure anonymity and confidentiality. Password-protected devices were used to safely store data.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Demographic Profile of Respondents (N=200)

There are 55% men and 45% women.

Age range: 18–35 years; mean = 25.

Groups: 100 banking executives and 100 STEM students.

4.2. Quantitative Findings

Perceived Benefits: 78% of professionals saw significant potential for increased operational efficiency, and 85% of professionals believed that STEM integration stimulates organizational creativity.

Particular Contributions: According to 72% of respondents, STEM students could greatly improve their cybersecurity and data analytics skills. Major Obstacles: 60% of respondents mentioned that management and HR were unaware of STEM competencies. A significant obstacle, according to 55% of respondents, is cultural opposition to unconventional ideas at banks. Entrepreneurship Education: According to 70% of students, taking entrepreneurship courses increased their preparedness for jobs in banking. • Statistical Relationships Perceived process innovation and the reported degree of STEM integration were found to be strongly positively correlated ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$). Technical skills ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$) and cybersecurity contributions ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.05$) were both significant predictors of improved operational efficiency (Model $R^2 = 0.30$, $p < 0.001$), according to regression analysis.

4.3. Qualitative Themes

The interview data revealed four main themes:

1. Professionals observed that STEM students "*approach problems from first principles*" and "*question legacy processes in ways finance-trained staff don't*," which results in innovative solutions for customer experience and digital services.
2. Specific Contributions in Technical Roles: STEM abilities are directly utilized in the following roles, according to interviews: a) Data analysts for consumer insights and risk modeling. b) Cybersecurity experts for system integrity and threat identification. · Process/Systems engineers to optimize and automate workflows. c) FinTech development teams are responsible for creating new platforms and applications.

3. Structural and Cultural Barriers as Fundamental Issues: Banks' "*siloed mindset*" was mentioned a lot. "*Our promotion and project allocation systems are built for finance careers, not for technical specialists*," a senior manager clarified. They soon hit a glass ceiling. And other individual expressed regulatory worry: "*Using an engineer to model risk is one thing, but having them propose a new product triggers compliance concerns we're not set up to manage efficiently.*"
4. The Crucial Role of Applied Bridges and Mentorship: Both groups stressed that hiring is not enough on its own. Formal mentorship and structured internships were considered necessary to convert academic knowledge into workable financial solutions. A student said: "*I learned about compliance restrictions from my mentor, a senior quant. This demonstrated that my technical paradigm was not just ingenious but also workable*."

5. DISCUSSION

The results firmly support the central claim of the chapter, which is that incorporating STEM talent is a powerful but underutilized lever for banking innovation and security. Human Capital Theory is empirically supported by the significant association between integration and process innovation, which demonstrates that investments in technical human capital result in observable innovative returns. The Resource-Based View supports the identification of specialized, value-adding positions such as data analysts and cybersecurity professionals, which position these abilities as uncommon and important strategic assets. Nonetheless, the significance of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is validated by the existence of considerable hurdles, especially awareness gaps (60%) and cultural opposition (55%). According to the research, there are good attitudes toward STEM abilities, but action is impeded by low perceived behavioral control (unsure how to integrate) and subjective norms (entrenched finance-centric cultures). This shifts the conversation from a straightforward "skills gap" to an organizational adaption issue.

Our research adds context-specific empirical evidence from Namibia and the DRC to the body of current literature (e.g., Silwana & Jokonya, 2020; Battisti et al., 2022). They show that more resource-constrained training infrastructures and possibly weaker industry-academia ties exacerbate the integration difficulty in underdeveloped nations. This is consistent with the Organizational Learning Theory (Senge, 1990), which holds that banks must change into "learning organizations" that are able to modify their structures and cultures in order to incorporate new expertise. Practical and Strategic Implications: A systemic change is necessary for banks to integrate effectively: • Talent Pipeline Management: Construct "tech in finance" awareness campaigns, engage in STEM career fairs, and conduct focused outreach outside of business schools. • Structural Innovation: Develop parallel technical career ladders apart from managerial tracks, create hybrid jobs (such as "Quantitative Business Analyst"), and set up innovation labs where STEM personnel work with business divisions. • Cultural Intervention: To dismantle silos, actively support cross-functional initiatives and leadership messaging that promotes a variety of ways to problem-solving.

Limitations and Future Research: This study focuses on two distinct country contexts and is cross-sectional. Longitudinal studies monitoring integrated STEM graduates' career advancement should be part of future study. Contextual best practices would be found through comparative research across various regional economies (such as those in East and Southern Africa). A critical next step is to look at the ethical and legal frameworks that either permit or prohibit the use of technical expertise in delicate financial positions.

6. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Several research directions are suggested in order to expand on the findings of this study:

(1) Longitudinal studies to monitor the innovation outputs and long-term career impact of integrated STEM workers in banking.
(2) Comparative studies between industries and regions to find transferable and contextual integration tactics.
(3) Research on governance, ethics, and regulatory frameworks that influence how technological talent is used in financial services.
(4) Research by discipline to assess hybrid education approaches and distinguish the contributions of different STEM fields.
(5) Research on leadership techniques and organizational transformation to evaluate solutions that go past structural and cultural obstacles.
(6) Foresight research that looks at how new technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence may change the responsibilities and skill requirements for banking in the future.

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter shows that for banks navigating digital transformation, the strategic integration of scientific and engineering students is a crucial requirement rather than just an additional talent strategy. The advantages are evident and quantitatively supported in terms of promoting innovation, strengthening cybersecurity, and increasing operational effectiveness. However, overcoming ingrained institutional, cultural, and awareness-based hurdles will necessitate a concerted, multi-stakeholder effort in order to realize these benefits. The perspective on STEM graduates must change from one of "technical support" to one of "core innovation partners."

Suggested actions:

For Financial Institutions and Banks:

1. Create focused recruitment and awareness initiatives that actively involve STEM departments.
2. Provide organized programs for integration that include clear technical career tracks, rotational assignments, and official mentoring.
3. Create cross-functional teams and innovative challenges to actively promote multidisciplinary cooperation.

For Teachers and Educational Establishments:

1. Use case studies, specialized modules, and guest lectures from the banking industry to incorporate financial settings into STEM courses.
2. Broaden and enhance the curriculum for entrepreneurship to include topics like business model innovation, regulatory environments, and financial ethics.

For Policymakers:

1. Encourage collaboration between industry and academics by offering incentives for collaborative research, sponsoring internships, and developing curricula that emphasize digital financial competencies.

In order to secure its innovative edge and operational resilience in an increasingly digital world, the banking industry in Namibia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and comparable contexts can change from being a passive consumer of talent to an active co-creator of a workforce prepared for the future by implementing this cooperative and strategic approach.

REFERENCES

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179-211.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99-120.

Battisti, G. et al. (2022). Innovation in financial services: A review. *Technovation, 118*, 102516.

Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Berger, A. N. (2020). The economic effects of technological progress: Evidence from the banking industry. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 52*(S1), 5-40.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101.

Bresnahan, T. F., Brynjolfsson, E., & Hitt, L. M. (2002). Information technology, workplace organization, and the demand for skilled labor. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 117*(1), 339-376.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A. et al. (2020). The global financial crisis and the role of banks. *Journal of Financial Stability, 50*, 100772.

Goldin, C. (2016). Human capital. In C. Diebolt & M. Haupert (Eds.), *Handbook of cliometrics* (pp. 55-86). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *The integration of the humanities and arts with sciences, engineering, and medicine in higher education: Branches from the same tree*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nnaomah, U. (2024). Integrating FinTech in banking: Reshaping employee attitudes and operational strategies. *Journal of Financial Services Research, 66*(1), 1-20.

Palinkas, L. A. et al. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42*(5), 533-544.

Reis, J. (2024). The impact of AI technologies on operational efficiency in banking. *Journal of Banking and Finance, 128*, 106-120.

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. New York, NY: Doubleday.

Silwana, S., & Jokonya, O. (2020). Knowledge management and innovation in organizations: A systematic review. *South African Journal of Information Management, 22*(1), a1207.

Sunyoto, Y. (2019). The influence of entrepreneurship education on students' entrepreneurial interest. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 22*(4), 1-12.

Xu, Y., & Zhou, Y. (2022). Students' attitudes towards STEM fields and their learning skills. *International Journal of Science Education, 44*(2), 1-20.

Yi, S., & Duval-Couetil, N. (2018). What drives engineering students to be entrepreneurs? Evidence of validity for an entrepreneurial motivation scale. *Journal of Engineering Education, 107*(2), 291-317.

AUTHORS' INFORMATION

Full name: Kaarina Anna Paulus
Institutional affiliation: University of Namibia
Institutional address: P.O. Box 88, 340 Kaisosi Road, Rundu, Namibia
Short biographical sketch: Kaarina Anna Paulus is a Lecturer at the University of Namibia with a strong academic background in Accounting and Business. Holding a Master's degree in Business Administration and a Master's in Accounting. Kaarina is actively engaging in academic networks that foster collaboration and innovation. She is currently pursuing a PhD in Business Management with a specialization in International Accountancy, her research interests are centered on key developmental priorities for Africa: sustainability, innovation, healthcare advancement, economic growth, and the mitigation of gender-based violence. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5073-9396

Full name: Kalengay Mbela Didier, Dr.
Institutional affiliation: University of Namibia
Institutional address: P.O. Box 88, 340 Kaisosi Road, Rundu, Namibia
Short biographical sketch: Kalengay Mbela is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Namibia, holding a PhD in Physics with a specialization in Condensed Matter. With a strong academic background in Natural Sciences and Science Education, he is deeply engaged in interdisciplinary teaching and curriculum development across scientific disciplines. Their research focuses on sustainability, environmental awareness, and materials science, where they are recognized as an expert. As a cross-curriculum specialist, Mbela contributes to advancing STEM education, fostering innovation, and promoting sustainable practices within higher education and the broader community. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0306-3113

Full name: Kerima Boniface
Institutional affiliation: University of Namibia
Institutional address: P.O. Box 88, 340 Kaisosi Road, Rundu, Namibia
Short biographical sketch: Boniface Kerima is a dedicated academic and researcher affiliated with the University of Namibia. He holds a Master's degree in Accounting, which underpin his strong expertise in business and financial disciplines. Boniface is an active participant in academic networks that promote collaborative research and educational innovation. He is currently pursuing a PhD in Business Management, specializing in International Accountancy. His research is strategically focused on developmental priorities for Africa, with particular emphasis on financial systems, economic integration and sustainable business practices across the continent. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9211-113X

Full name: Alex Banze Wa Mutombo
Institutional affiliation: Institut Supérieur Pédagogique et Technique
Institutional address: Avenue de la Libération , Gombe Municipality, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo
Short biographical sketch: Banze wa Mutombo Alex holds a PhD in Engineering and serves as a faculty member at the Institut Supérieur Pédagogique et Technique in the Democratic Republic of Congo. His academic expertise is complemented by a strong commitment to advancing engineering education through innovative pedagogical approaches. Dr. Banze's research interests focus on cross-curricular integration, exploring how engineering principles can be effectively woven across diverse academic disciplines to enhance learning outcomes. He is particularly dedicated to sustainability education, examining how engineering solutions can address environmental challenges and promote sustainable development within African contexts. His work contributes to shaping a new generation of engineers equipped with both technical proficiency and a deep understanding of their role in building a sustainable future.